

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/550,139	CEDERSTROM, BJORN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANASTASIA MIDKIFF	2882	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anastasia Midkiff (AU 2882). (3) _____.

(2) Lawrence E. Russ, Reg. No. 35,342. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 February 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 7, 8, 13, 14, and 25.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Russ authorized an Examiner's Amendment to Claims 7, 8, 13, 14, and 25, in accordance with the Claim Objections of the Office Action mailed 05/15/08, and as indicated in Applicant's Response of 10/27/2008 (Page 13, Paragraph 1), to correct typographical errors.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.